**FINAL DELIVERABLE**

Please rate your final group deliverable according to the following criteria:

1. How well does your final deliverable work?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Does not work well* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Works very well* |

Optional comment:

Deliverable works well and meets all required functions that were outlined initially. Would give it 5/5 if not for some latency issues that we are unsure of how to optimise further.

1. How well does your final deliverable address your initial problem?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Does not address problem well* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Addresses problem very well* |

Optional comment:

Addresses the problem exactly as we intended to, but is slightly held back by the previously outlined latency issue. Also, I believe initial setup may be cumbersome for motor impaired users as the UI hasn’t been heavily designed around that.

1. How well is your final deliverable deployed?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Is not deployed well* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Is deployed very well* |

Optional comment:

Deployment is *okay.* However running the code requires a couple of pre-requisites we’d rather not ask of the user. Especially the requirement for Visual studio being installed. Building a standalone executable would have been #1 priority if we had continued work on this project.

1. What is your overall satisfaction with your final group deliverable?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Very unsatisfied* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very satisfied* |

Optional comment:

**TECHNICAL PROFICIENCY**

Please rate your technical proficiency according to the following criteria:

1. What is the overall quality of your code like?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Very poor quality* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Excellent quality* |

Optional comment:

1. How well did you follow best practices in development?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

Code is very thoroughly commented, and all classes have huge amounts of modularity in their design. All settings are kept in their own class, etc. Very, very few literals.

1. How well did you use appropriate version control?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

I made a commit every time notable progress was made, and named the commit well. But we didn’t use branching properly, which made synchronising between team members quite a delicate process.

1. To what extent do you think you contributed an equal portion of the overall project?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *To a very little extent* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *To a great extent* |

Optional comment:

**PROFESSIONAL PROFICIENCY**

Please rate your professional proficiency according to the following criteria:

1. How often did you attend scheduled group meetings/scrums?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Rarely* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Always* |

Optional comment:

All missed meetings were for valid reasons and cleared in advance.

1. How well did you communicate with others in your group or subgroup?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

1. How well did you document your work throughout the project?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

Code documentation was ongoing on very thorough throughout, but we could have done more during the research period in Semester 1 to track what we’d tried.

1. How well did you respond to problems or changing requirements?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

I did not face any insurmountable problems, we managed to work any issues we faced during development.

**Peer-review**

Please review EACH of the other members of your group (or subgroup, as appropriate). There are some guiding questions which should help inform your final rating. The ratings are 1-5, with 1 being the lowest rating, and 5 being the highest rating; there is also a space for optional comments if you wish to elaborate on your rating. Remember to be professional and respectful in your comments, and don’t let personal opinions or biases stop you from noting areas of strength and weakness in relation to this person’s contribution. Your feedback is confidential, and will not be given to the person you are reviewing.

**Team member: Leonard Sim**

Please rate this person according to the following criteria:

1. To what extent do you think this person contributed an equal portion of the overall project?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *To a very little extent* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *To a great extent* |

Optional comment:

1. How often did this person attend scheduled group meetings/scrums?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Rarely* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Always* |

Optional comment:

1. How well did this person communicate with others in the group throughout the project?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

Always let me know where he code was at, and kept me informed throughout of any issues.

1. How well did this person document their work throughout the project?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

All code was commented thoroughly after some encouragement, but I feel I had a generally more involved role in documentation.

1. How well did this person respond to problems or changing requirements?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Not well at all* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *Very well* |

Optional comment:

1. To what extent did this person treat others in the group with respect?

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *To a very little extent* | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | *To a great extent* |

Optional comment:

Excellent to work with, never got frustrated with other team members and was always pleasant.